



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/524,154

02/11/2005

Johann Natterer

07-2216

1572

20306

7590

06/03/2009

MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP  
300 S. WACKER DRIVE  
32ND FLOOR  
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

DURAND, PAUL R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3721

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/03/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   |                                      |   |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/524,154 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>NATTERER, JOHANN |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>PAUL R. DURAND    | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3721                 |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) PAUL R. DURAND.

(2) Steven Courtright.

**Date of Interview:** 19 May 2009

**Status of Application:** RCE

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 1430

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*claims 1 and 2*

Claims discussed:

*1-9*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner attempted to have applicant cancel apparatus claim 4-9 and incorporate claim 2 into process claim 1 in view of applicant's amendment to claim 1. Applicant attorney could not get applicant approval at this time.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/PAUL R. DURAND/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)